UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,006	07/17/2003	Prem Vakharia	T0095US	1668
68412 ROGITZ & AS	7590 07/13/2007 SSOCIATES		EXAM	INER
750 B STREE	B STREET		MAI, TRI M	
SUITE 3120 SAN DIEGO,	CA 92101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,006	VAKHARIA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri M. Mai	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-17</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,9 and 11 is/are with		on.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,10,12,13,16 and 17</u> is/are reject	cted.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-15</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Accellenting No
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 		ar received in this mational stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.
attachment(s)	,, CT	. C
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006

Art Unit: 3781

1. Claims 2, 9, and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention as previously set forth.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Rosenow (3145749) in view of either Russell (3161932) or Kurt (308209). Rosenow teaches a head cover with a fastener being a zipper 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the magnetic fastener in Rosenow as taught by either Russell or Kurt to provide an alternative fastener.

Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide at least four magnets in each strip to provide the desired amount of magnets for securely closing the closure.

The combination of Rosenow in view of either Russell or Kurt inherently meets the limitations as set forth in the method claims.

- 3. Claims 6, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over the Rosenow rejection above in paragraph 5, and further in view of Lauretti (2422245). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a liner as taught by Lauretti to provide added protection.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauretti in view of Russell (3161932) or Kurt. Lauretti teaches a head cover with a fastener being a zipper 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the magnetic fastener in Lauretti as taught by either Russell or Kurt to provide an alternative fastener.

Art Unit: 3781

Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide at least four magnets in each strip to provide the desired amount of magnets for securely closing the closure.

5. Claims 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In view of the amendment, the rejections with Harding, White and Change is now withdrawn.

6. With respect to the rejections of Rosenow with either Russell and Kurt, in additional to the response as set forth previously, applicant asserts that Russell and Kurt are not in the field of analogous prior art. The examiner submits that it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both Lauretti and Kurt are pertinent to the fastening element being used in the cover. In the field of covering, to use another type of fastening device, such as the device as disclosed in both Lauretti and Kurt are within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the examiner also submits that both Kurt provides clear suggestion for that one to use on a cover.

"the fastener comprising the present invention may be to any form of fabric, cloth, **cover**, garment, apparel, tarpaulin, bag, container, or other device" (col. 4, ln. 6)

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006

Art Unit: 3781

Lauretti also provide similar suggestion to substitute with an ordinary zipper.

"The advantages of the invention to mothers are very great, as all fumbling for fasteners or snap, hook, button or zipper type, is eliminated." (col. 2, ln. 30).

As with the combination with Lauretti (2422245), the examiner submits that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a liner as taught by Lauretti to provide added protection.

7. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai T M Primary Examiner Art Unit 3781